# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	NO		
EX PARTE MOTION TO AUTHORIZE AND FUND AN EXPERT ASSISTANT/WITNESS				
TO THE HONORABLEFOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF THE CONTROL OF		, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE AN ANTONIO DIVISION:		
Comes now the Accused,		, in the above-styled case through his		
appointed counsel and requests this Hone	orable Co	ourt to authorize and fund an expert in forensic		
and clinical psychology to assist in his c	lefense pu	ursuant to Title 18, U.S. Code § 3006A(e) and		
shows the Court the following:				
	BACKGR	OUND		
ISTATE VOUR FACTSI				

### II. LAW AND ANALYSIS

An indigent accused is entitled to expert assistance in evaluating, preparing, and presenting a defense upon a preliminary showing that expert assistance is necessary; the request may be made ex parte. Title 18 U.S. Code § 3006A(e); and Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985).

Expert assistance is needed to evaluate the Accused's mental state surrounding the time period of the charged offense given the violent threats to his family and himself given his history of mental illness and traumatic brain injury. An expert in forensic and clinical psychology would assist counsel understanding the effect of his mental illness on his decision making and possibly

identify a legal defense or provide expert testimony as mitigation evidence pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence 702. Council must also determine the Defendant's competency to assist them in this case, and to make decisions regarding whether he will try or settle this case. Psychology is a complex subject and Counsel for the Accused is not qualified to perform tests or interpret mental health reports and effects of traumatic brain injuries.

## III. EXPERT ASSISTANCE

Counsel conferred with	, a B	oard Certified Fore	ensic and Clinical
Psychologist and Forensic Neurops	ychologist regarding	the Accused's cas	se. Based on the
consultation, Dres	timated he would nee	ed fifteen hours to p	properly review the
discovery, review the Accused's men	tal and health records	, meet with the Acc	used for evaluation
and testing. Dr's h	ourly rate is \$	per hour, thus co	osting an estimated
\$ for pre-trial expert assis	stance. This does not	include testimony at	any trial or pretrial
proceeding, if necessary. Based on r	review of the Govern	ment's discovery a	nd after consulting
with the Accused, Counsel anticipates	s Drv	vill also be needed for	or expert testimony
for pre-trial hearings and during trial.	He has been qualified	ed and testified as an	n expert in forensic
and clinical psychology and forensic	neuropsychology in	criminal cases. Th	nerefore, additional
funding will most likely be necessary	above the cost of pr	re-trial expert assista	ance quoted above.
Dr estimated pre-trial	hearing preparation a	and testimony at ten	hours, if Counsel
determines he is necessary. Likewise	, trial preparation and	testimony is estima	ted at an additional
ten hours. Dr does not	charge a higher rate	for in-court testimo	ny. Therefore, the
total cost for preparation for both a pro-	e-trial hearing and tria	l would equal an add	ditional \$
(20 hours at \$ an hour).			

### IV. WAIVER

Pursuant to the Guide to Judiciary Policy, Volume 7A, § 310.20.10(A), the waivable
maximum for case compensation for expert services is currently \$ Dr.
's estimated fees for expert assistance exceed the maximum fee authorized;
however, Title 18, U.S. Code § 3006A(e)(3) authorizes the Chief Judge of the Circuit, or delegee,
to approve payment in excess of the maximum amount authorized.

#### V. CASE BUDGETING ATTORNEY FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Counsel has also conferred with Meg Alverson, budget counsel for the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals who has advised the undersigned that the \$350 an hour rate for a mental health expert such as Dr. \_\_\_\_\_ has been approved and paid for the assistance requested. Further, she has advised that fifteen hours is typically required for the type of evaluation sought by counsel. Further, she is advised that, a \$350 an hour rate is ordinarily paid for these types of services for pre-trial and trial testimony, and that twenty hours is typically required for the same.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The Accused respectfully requests this Court to seek for the Fifth Circuit to authorize payment for these services for the Accused and authorize compensation for pre-trial expert assistance in the field of forensic and clinical psychology in excess of the maximum authorized fee for 15 hours at \$350 an hour and for 20 hours at \$350 an hour for trial testimony, and pre-trial testimony in this case. The total compensation authorization requested is \$\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to cover the anticipated mental health expert assistance in this case.

# Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Cynthia E. Orr

Cynthia E. Orr

CYNTHIA E. ORR
Bar No.15313350
GOLDSTEIN & ORR
310 S. Saint Mary's St.
29th Floor Tower Life Bldg.
San Antonio, Texas 78205
210-226-1463 office
210-226-8367 facsimile

Email: whitecollarlaw@gmail.com

Jeffrey Rohrbach Bar No. 24119039 21720 Hardy Oak Boulevard, Suite 92 San Antonio, Texas. 78258 210-745-5800 office 210-791-8108 facsimile

Email: jeffreyrohrbach@JRLawPLLC.com

Attorneys for Defendant

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§ 8
v.	§ § NO §
	<u>ORDER</u>
On this date came on to be considered	dered Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Authorize and
Fund An Expert Assistant/Witness, and s	said Motion is hereby
GRANTED DENIED.	
SIGNED on this the day o	f, 2022.
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE